## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**District of New Mexico** 

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

**Anastacio Palafox-Perez** 

V.

Judgment in a Criminal Case

(For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

Case Number: 1:09CR03552-001JB

USM Number: 27878-208

Defense Attorney: Luis B. Juarez, Appointed

THE DEFEND.	ANT:		
_	uilt to violations of condition(s) <b>MC</b> of the term of in violation of condition(s) after denial of guilt.	of supervision.	
Γhe defendant i	s adjudicated guilty of these violations:		
Violation Number	Nature of Violation	Violation Ended	
1	MC-The defendant committed another federal,	state, or local crime. 08/12/2009	
Γhe defendant is Act of 1984.	s sentenced as provided in pages 1 through 2 of this	s judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing Reform	
☐ The defend	dant has not violated condition(s) and is discharge	ed as to such violation(s).	
	· ·	United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of sts, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid.	
		March 3, 2010	
Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.		Date of Imposition of Judgment	
04/15/1985		/s/ James O. Browning	
Defendant's Date of Birth		Signature of Judge	
Calle Emiliano Zapata Nueva Casas Grandes Chihuahua MX		Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge	
Defendant's Residence Address		Name and Title of Judge	
·		May 28, 2010	
Defendant's Mailing Address		Date Signed	
County of Resi	dence		

AO 245D (Rev. 12/03) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations

Sheet 2 Imprisonment Judgment Page 2 of 2

Defendant: **Anastacio Palafox-Perez** Case Number: **1:09CR03552-001JB** 

## **IMPRISONMENT**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 4 months.

3 months of said term shall run concurrently, and 1 month of said term shall run consecutively, to the sentence imposed in 2:09CR02979-001JB.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 4 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public and effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:	
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Mars The defendant must surrender to the United States Marshal for this of at on as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant must surrender for service of sentence at the institution before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Service Office.	listrict:
	RETURN	
I hav	eve executed this judgment by:	
Defe	Tendant delivered on at at	to with a Certified copy of this judgment.
	UNITE	D STATES MARSHAL

Case 1:09-cr-03552-JB Document 14 Filed 05/28/10 Page 3 of 3

Deputy United States Marshal